

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency
2. Method of Procurement
3. Title of Procurement
4. Tender Inquiry No

IGNITE National Technology Fund
RFP
CODE4AI-North Region
IGNITE/Code4AI-N/2025-26/0017/Proc

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening
8. No. of Bids Received
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation
10. Details of Bid Evaluation

TS755034E
February 04, 2026, 15:00 Hrs
February 04, 2026, 15:30 Hrs
10
Attached as Annex – 1
Received proposals were evaluated w.r.t
evaluation criteria as published in RFP
document.

Name of Bidder	Marks	Percentage	Basis of Technical Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
	Technical	%	
M/s. NUST	432.80/600	72.13%	Qualified for Financial bid opening by scoring more than 70% marks
M/s. Care Pvt Ltd	461.40/600	76.90%	Qualified for Financial bid opening by scoring more than 70% marks
M/s. ACT Engineering	373.80/600	62.30%	Not Qualified by scoring below 70%
M/s. Section Soft	533.20/600	88.87%	Qualified for Financial bid opening by scoring more than 70% marks
M/s. CISD	434.60/600	72.43%	Qualified for Financial bid opening by scoring more than 70% marks
M/s. NCBMS	442.00/600	73.67%	Qualified for Financial bid opening by scoring more than 70% marks
M/s. SC Technologies Global	346.60/600	57.77%	Not Qualified by scoring below 70%
M/s. HAZZA Institute	--	--	Bid not accepted due to Not fulfilling mandatory requirement
M/s. CECOS	--	--	Bid not accepted due to Not fulfilling mandatory requirement
M/s. Wibbow Tech	--	--	Bid not accepted due to Not fulfilling mandatory requirement

*Minimum qualification score was 70%

Signature: Sami Ullah

Official Stamp




TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Criteria for BID Evaluation

Annexure – I

1	Profile of the Lead Bidder (Form B-2)		50
	a. Registered age of the Lead Bidder (Years)		
	Registered age of the lead bidder on the date of submission of this proposal: i. Experience of less than 5 years will carry no points. ii. 6 Years = 2 Point iii. 7 Years = 4 iv. 8 Years = 6 v. 9 Years = 8 vi. 10 or more than 10 Years = 10	10	
	b. Financial Position -Annual Turnover of the Lead Bidder (in Million PKR) (on the basis of last 3 years of audited financial accounts)		
	i. Each 10 Million PKR carries 1 point. Turnover of less than 150 Million PKR carries no points. Maximum Points = 30	30	
	c. Relevant ISO Certifications (each certification carries 2 marks with maximum 10 marks)	10	
2	Physical Infrastructure & Allied Facilities in each city (of each center) (Form B-3)		70
	a. Location of the training centers.	20	
	b. Availability of classrooms to accommodate at least 25 trainees per class.	10	
	c. Availability & capacity of internet bandwidth & speed in training centers.	10	
	d. Availability of multimedia/Large Screens, Audio/Visual Aides.	10	
	e. Physical Layout (Seating Capacity, Furniture, Whiteboards etc)	10	
	f. Allied Facilities (Heating/Cooling, Backup Power etc)	10	
3	Experience of the Lead Bidder or JV / Consortium Partners (Forms B-4)		100
	a. Experience in conducting ICT based trainings	10	
	Each year of experience carries 2 Mark. Maximum Points = 10		
	b. Number of trainees graduated from ICT based trainings in the last Five years	20	
	Each 200 trainees graduated carries 2 Marks. Maximum Points = 20		
	c. Experience of conducting soft skills training Programs	10	
	Each training program carries 2 points. Maximum Points = 10		
	d. Number of trainees graduated from the training program in programming languages	20	
	Each 100 trainees graduated carries 1 Mark. Maximum Points = 20		
	e. Past post training outcomes (Job Placements or Entrepreneurial career)	20	
Each 20 job placements, or Freelancing/Entrepreneurial setups of the trainees carries 1 point. Maximum Points = 20			



TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	f. Well Reputed International Partner in Technology/ICT Trainings		
	Affiliation/Experience of localizing international level curriculum in collaboration with International Partner(s)	20	
4	Proposed Team (Forms B-5)		110
	i. Visual representation (Organogram) of proposed team's structure, roles and relationships.	10	
	ii. Profile, Qualifications and Experience of each member of the proposed team including Lead Trainers, Asst. Trainers & Soft Skill Trainers. Marks distribution for proposed team out of 110 marks is: Lead Trainers: 40% Asst. Trainers: 30% Soft Skill Trainers: 15% Rest of the project team: 15%	100	
5	Proposed Methodology (Form B-6)		150
	a. Communication & Outreach Strategy	5	
	b. Strategy for selecting the potential trainees	5	
	c. Training Delivery Methodology		
	i. Formation of classes/sections for each training	5	
	ii. Timings & duration of each training	5	
	iii. Engagement plan of Lead Trainer throughout the trainings	10	
	iv. Engagement plan for Asst. Trainer throughout the trainings	10	
	v. Engagement plan of Soft skills trainer	10	
	vi. Monitoring, Progress & Evaluation of trainees (Attendance, Punctuality, Class Participation, Progress Reports etc.)	5	
	vii. KPIs for successful completion of Training and Training Duration of each language	5	
	viii. Strategy to organize demo days	10	
	ix. International certification awarding organization/body	25	
	e. Methodology to allocate capstone project to trainees	25	
	f. Job Placement Strategy (Goals, Plan, Implementation and expected outcomes)	15	
	g. Training of Trainers Methodology	15	
6	Proposed Curriculum (Form B-7)		80
	a. Proposed Curriculum	60	
	b. Existing utilization/adoption of proposed curriculum	20	
7	Provision of Registration Portal and LMS (Form B-8)		



TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	i. Provision of LMS (creating, delivering, tracking, administrating, reporting, gap analysing the trainings courses and outcomes. Features like Content Management, Assessment & Testing, Monitoring and Evaluation, Mobile Optimization, Reporting, Communication etc.) and submission of Figma Design	30	
	ii. Provision of Alumni Portal and submission of Figma Design	10	
	Total		600

