

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency	<u>IGNITE National Technology Fund</u>
2. Method of Procurement	<u>RFP</u>
3. Title of Procurement	<u>Endpoint Protection License Renewal/Upgrade</u>
4. Tender Inquiry No	<u>IGNITE/Endpoint-Protection/2024-25/0008 Proc.</u>
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	<u>F-241008378</u>
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing	<u>November 07, 2024, 1500 Hrs</u>
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening	<u>November 07, 2024, 1530 Hrs</u>
8. No. of Bids Received	<u>04</u>
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Attached as Annex – 1
10. Details of Bid Evaluation	Received proposal was evaluated w.r.t evaluation criteria as published in RFP document.

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated cost (PKR)	Basis of Technical Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
	Technical *	Financial		
Info Serv 360	41.97**	50	4,841,360/-	Most Advantageous Bidder
Transworld	25.97	-	-	Did not qualify technically
Mega Plus	29.32	-	-	Did not qualify technically

*Minimum qualification score was 70%

**Weighted average score

Signature: Usman Bin Tahir

Official Stamp



Criteria for BID Evaluation

Annexure – I

S#	Technical Evaluation	Total Marks	Sub Marks
a.	Firm Profile (Registered age, Location, Number of Employees and Financial position) – (Form B2) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Registered Age, Number of Employees, Goodwill 2. Financial Position 3. Client list 4. Level of partnership with principal/manufacturer/OEM 	14	03 04 03 04
b.	Relevant Experience of the Firm/Bidder - (Form B3) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Experience of successfully completed similar assignments 	6	06
c.	Proposed methodology and time line – (Form B4) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Features and technical support SLA 2. Quality of solution, brand goodwill, local presence 3. Delivery timeline (weeks) 	30	15 10 05
Total Marks		50	
Minimum qualification score (70%)		35	