## **FINAL EVALUATION REPORT**

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency

2. Method of Procurement

3. Title of Procurement

4. Tender Inquiry No

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening

8. No. of Bids Received

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation

10. Details of Bid Evaluation

IGNITE National Technology Fund

**RFP** 

MARKETING SERVICES FOR DIGITAL

PAKISTAN CYBERSECURITY HACKATHON

Ignite/MKTS-DPCH/2024-25/0030/Proc

TS541716E

July 02 2024, 1500 Hrs

July 02 2024, 1530 Hrs

05

Attached as Annex – 1

Received proposals were evaluated w.r.t

evaluation criteria as published in RFP document.

			a de different		
Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated cost (PKR)	Basis of Technical Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004	
	Technical*	Financial			
M/s. EC Services	32* <del>**</del>	en	-	Did not qualify for Financial bid opening as marks obtained in technical evaluation are less than 60%	
M/s. Marcom	41	13	98,795,819	2 <sup>nd</sup> most advantageous bidder	
M/s. MIDAS	43	40	31,994,540	Most advantageous bidder	
M/s. Oktopus	31	-	•	Did not qualify for Financial bid opening as marks obtained in technical evaluation are less than 60%	
M/s. M Communications			-	Did not fulfill mandatory requirement of EPADs therefore bid was not accepted.	

**Minimum Qualification Score was 70%** 

Signature:

Official Stamp:

<sup>\*\*</sup>Weighted average score

## **Criteria for BID Evaluation**

## Annexure – I

#	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Sub	Total
2 31		Marks	Marks
l.	Firm/Bidder Profile (Registered age, and Financial position) –(Form C2)		10
	Registered Age (Lead Bidder)		
	a. 7+ Years (5 Marks)	5	
	b. 3 to 7 Years (3 Marks)		
	c. Less than 3 Years (2 Mark)	5	
	2. Financial Position (Lead Bidder)		
II. Re	Relevant & General Experience of the Firm/Bidder - (Form C3-Ato C3-B)		40
	<ol> <li>Experience in developing and conducting similar Workshops (cybersecurity hands-on workshops).</li> </ol>	15	
	a. 3 Marks per local hands-on training for a maximum of up to 15 Marks		
	b. 5 Marks per International hands-on training for a maximum of upto 10 Marks		
		10	
	2. Experience in developing general nature trainingsomewhat similar to the scope		
	outlined in this RFP.	10	
	a. 2 Mark per local training for a maximum of up to 10 Marks		
	b. 1 Mark per International training for a maximum of up to 5 Marks		
		5	
Re	Qualification and Competence of the proposed Project Team, Proposed Approach & Research Methodology, Understanding of the Terms of Reference, Proposed Timelines, and Work Plan – (Form C4, C5)		90
	(,,	45	
	Proposed Methodology, Design & Training Plan		
	a. Completeness in Understanding of ProjectRequirements & Terms of		
	Reference (05 marks)		
	b. Proposed Research Methodology & Training Design, Pedagogy Approach, training		
	platform& Training Execution Plan (35 marks)		
	c. Project Time Management Plan (05 marks)		
	2. Training Content with study material (15 marks)	15	
	Qualification, Experience, and Certification of the proposed Training team (Training		
	areas mentioned in TOR)		
	a) Profile of the CTF exercises development team (10 marks)	30	
	b) Profile of Trainer Team (15 marks)		
	c) IT Infrastructure, Support, and Project Team (05 marks)		
IV.	Presentation by Bidders (Mandatory)		
	Presentation of all proposed aspects of the project outlined inEvaluation Criteria as mentioned in		
	Sections (I – V) above		
	Total Marks and Techn		140
	Minimum Qualification Marks Required Children		98
	2 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(70%)